A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Item	Yes	Partly	No							
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my									
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI									
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS									
Reference of report	PROTON BEAM THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT OF CANCER – AN UPDATE									

Item							Yes	Pa	rtly	No
Preliminary										
Appropriate contact details for further information?							V			
2. Authors identified?							V			
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?										
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							V			
5. Short summary in non-technical language?										√
Why?										
Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							√			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							√			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							√			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							V			
How?						•				
	urces of	information	on and literature s	earch	strategies p	rovide	d?			
Search strategy		oases	Year range	L	<u> </u>		Primary data		Other kind of information	
$\sqrt{}$		V	\checkmark		$\sqrt{}$		\checkmark		resources √	
· ·		List of uded studies -	Inclusion criteria √		l	Exclusion criteria √				
11. Information o	n basis f	or the as	sessment and inte	erpreta	ation of selec	cted da	ita and	inform	ation	?
Method of data Crit extraction described? method asset		Critic metho asses	cal appraisal od (for quality sment of the re) described?	Method of data synthesis described?		ta	Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?			
			V		V					
Context?										
			may not apply to ea	ch HT		ı	T ~	there	orene	otivos
(Medico-) legal implications considered?	Economic analysis provided? √		implication	Ethical implications considered?		Social implications considered?		Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?		
What then?							Yes	Pa	rtly	No
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							√			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							√			
15. Suggestions for further action?							√			